# INVENTORY OPTIMIZATION MODEL USING PYTHON FOR A MULTI-STORE RETAIL CHAIN IN THE U.S.

## 1. Background

A mid-sized U.S. retail chain with 60 stores and a central warehouse faced recurring inventory issues—stockouts during peak demand, overstocking for slow-moving items, and excessive manual planning overhead. Their operations team used spreadsheets but lacked a scalable model to standardize reorder quantities and stock safety buffers across all locations.

We were engaged to design a robust, Python-based inventory optimization model tailored to their item-level demand patterns. The solution would help automate reordering decisions using Economic Order Quantity (EOQ), safety stock buffers, and demand variability—all structured for real business implementation.

## 2. Objective

- To calculate the optimal order quantity per item using EOQ
- To incorporate safety stock logic based on demand variability and lead times
- To provide a Python-based inventory management script that outputs reorder quantity, reorder point, and restocking schedule
- To support store-level and chain-wide inventory decisions with structured reporting

## 3. Data Used

Source: Internal ERP data exports (CSV format)

#### **Data Details:**

- 14,300 SKUs across 60 stores
- Timeframe: Last 12 months of weekly sales data
- Fields:
  - Item\_ID, Store\_ID, Weekly\_Sales\_Units, Unit\_Cost, Lead\_Time\_Days, Order\_C ost Per Order, Holding Cost Per Unit Per Year

#### **Assumptions Added:**

Service level = 95%

- Working days = 360/year
- Demand assumed to follow normal distribution for safety stock calculation

## 4. Methodology

### 4.1 EOQ Calculation

$$EOQ = \sqrt{\frac{2 \times D \times S}{H}}$$

Where:

- D: Annual demand
- S: Order cost per order
- H: Annual holding cost per unit

### 4.2 Safety Stock and Reorder Point

$$Safety \, Stock = Z \times \sigma_d \times \sqrt{L}$$

$$Reorder_{P}oint = (d_{avg} \times L) + Safety Stock$$

Where:

- Z = 1.65 for 95% service level
- $\sigma_d$ : Standard deviation of daily demand
- L: Lead time (in days)
- $d_{avg}$ : Average daily demand

## 4.3 Python Implementation

- Used pandas for data transformation
- Applied NumPy for EOQ and safety stock formulas
- Created functions to output per SKU:
  - o EOQ
  - Reorder Point
  - Order Frequency (in days)
  - o Inventory Turnover Ratio

## 5. Optimization Results

- Average EOQ Accuracy: ±9.3% vs actual consumption post-deployment
- Safety Stock Accuracy: 94.8% SLA met using modeled reorder points
- Manual order frequency reduced from weekly guesswork to once every 15–28 days per item
- Automated restock schedule generated per SKU with adjustable service level input

## 6. Insights and Recommendations

- **High-velocity items** (15% of SKUs) drove 70% of restock cost—modeled for tighter reorder points
- Stores in high footfall zones required shorter reorder intervals
- Suggested **centralized order batching** for items with similar lead times to reduce order cost
- Model flagged excess safety stock for 2,300 low-velocity items—client paused procurement for 90 days

## 7. Reporting Output

- Python Script:
  - Accepts SKU-wise input CSV
  - o Calculates EOQ, safety stock, and reorder points
  - o Generates reorder schedule and inventory health index
  - o Exports Restock Report.csv with reorder plan per item per store

#### • PDF Report (12 pages):

- Visual summaries of EOQ vs historical orders
- Heatmap of reorder risk zones across stores
- o Inventory turnover ratio tables by category

#### Excel Tracker:

- o Dashboard for adjusting service level, order cost, and lead time assumptions
- o Color-coded reorder alert flags by item

# 8. Operational Impact

- Overstock rate dropped from 28% to 21% in 60 days
- Stockout complaints reduced by 22% at high-volume stores
- Reorder planning time cut by 65%, freeing planners for higher-value decisions
- EOQ logic now embedded in their quarterly forecasting cycle



<u>www.statssy.org</u> +918602715108 info@statssy.com