

# SALES CHANNEL EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS USING R FOR A U.S. RETAIL BRAND

## 1. Background

A mid-sized U.S. retail apparel brand with nationwide operations sold products through three primary channels—company-owned stores, their own e-commerce platform, and third-party marketplaces (like Amazon and Walmart).

Leadership was unsure which channel combinations were most profitable and which customer segments contributed the most to channel-specific performance. They engaged us to perform a formal statistical analysis using R to guide budget reallocation, campaign optimization, and performance forecasting.

## 2. Objective

- To compare average revenue and transaction values across three sales channels using statistical testing
- To identify key factors driving total revenue through multiple regression modeling in R
- To deliver clear, evidence-backed recommendations for optimizing channel-specific investments

## 3. Data Used

**Source:** Internal CRM and sales performance database (Q1–Q3, 2023)

### **Dataset Details:**

- 3,750 aggregated weekly observations across 50 U.S. metro markets
- Fields included:
  - Week, Store\_ID, Channel (Store / Online / Marketplace), Region, Marketing\_Spend, Units\_Sold, Total\_Revenue, Avg\_Transaction\_Value, Customer\_Type

## 4. Methodology

### **4.1 Data Preparation**

- Cleaned and aggregated data using dplyr

- Created dummy variables for Channel and Region
- Verified assumptions for ANOVA and regression (normality, homogeneity, multicollinearity)

## 4.2 Statistical Analysis

- **One-way ANOVA** to compare Avg\_Transaction\_Value across sales channels
- **Tukey HSD** post-hoc test to determine pairwise differences
- **Multiple Linear Regression** using:
  - Total\_Revenue as the dependent variable
  - Predictors: Channel, Units\_Sold, Marketing\_Spend, Region, Customer\_Type
- Checked model fitness with adjusted  $R^2$ , residual plots, and VIF diagnostics

## 5. Statistical Results

Test Type	Key Findings
ANOVA	Significant differences in Avg_Transaction_Value ( $p < 0.001$ )
Tukey HSD	Marketplace vs. Online had lowest value gap ( $p = 0.03$ )
Regression ( $R^2 = 0.81$ )	Units_Sold and Channel:Online were the strongest predictors
VIF Scores	All $< 3$ , indicating low multicollinearity

Top coefficients in regression:

- Units\_Sold:  $\beta = 27.3$  ( $p < 0.001$ )
- Marketing\_Spend:  $\beta = 5.6$  ( $p = 0.004$ )
- Channel:Online:  $\beta = 1123.4$  ( $p < 0.001$ )
- Channel:Marketplace:  $\beta = 764.2$  ( $p = 0.017$ )

## 6. Interpretation and Action

- **Online channel** outperformed others in revenue impact per dollar spent
- **Brick-and-mortar stores** had higher transaction values but lower sales volumes
- **Third-party marketplaces** showed wide variance across regions and should be optimized locally

- Marketing allocation strategy was revised:
  - **+18% to Online**
  - **–10% from Store Promotions**
  - **Region-specific adjustments** for Amazon and Walmart campaigns

## 7. Reporting Output

- **R Markdown Report (PDF, 20 pages):**
  - Data cleaning steps
  - Full ANOVA and regression outputs
  - Visualizations: bar plots, residuals, coefficient charts
  - Strategic recommendations by channel
- **Excel Sheet:**
  - Summary tables of metrics by channel
  - Scenario planner with “what-if” logic based on regression coefficients
- **Slide Deck (Optional):**
  - Visual summary for executive presentation

## 8. Business Impact

- **Marketing ROI improved by 15%** in Q4 post-budget adjustment
- Online revenue increased by **22%** quarter-over-quarter
- Leadership added “channel profitability analysis” as a standing KPI
- R model framework now used internally for **monthly forecasting and budget planning**